

Spin-orbit coupling effect on quantum Hall ferromagnets with vanishing Zeeman energy

Vladimir I. Fal'ko* and S.V. Iordanskii†*

* *School of Physics and Chemistry, Lancaster University, LA1 4YB, UK*

† *Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kosygina 1, Moscow, Russia*
(today)

We present the phase diagram of a ferromagnetic $\nu = 2N + 1$ quantum Hall effect liquid in a narrow quantum well with vanishing single-particle Zeeman splitting, ε_Z and pronounced spin-orbit coupling. Upon decreasing ε_Z , the spin-polarization field of a liquid takes, first, the easy-axis configuration, followed by the formation of a helical state, which affects the transport and NMR properties of a liquid and the form of topological defects in it.

The concept of quantum Hall ferromagnets (QHF)¹⁻³ is now broadly accepted as an approach to treat the 2D electron systems in the vicinity of odd-integer filling factors, $\nu = 2N + 1$, in particular, due to the success in the theory^{3,4} and experimental observations⁵⁻⁷ of quantum Hall effect skyrmions, which are electrically charged topological textures in the ferromagnetic order parameter in two dimensions. The attempts to stabilize skyrmions^{3,4}, as compared to electrons and holes on the top of a filled spin-split Landau level (LL) have triggered the studies^{8,9} of semiconductor structures with a reduced value of the single-electron Zeeman energy, ε_Z .

The latter is possible to realize using GaAs/AlGaAs structures, due to a strong spin-orbit (SO) coupling in this zinc-blend-type semiconductor^{10,11}. In particular, the conduction band electron Lande factor, g in GaAs may reduce its absolute value or even change sign under a hydrostatic pressure, and also due to the electron confinement in a narrow quantum well (QW). Both theory¹² and experiment^{13,14} agree on that in a non-strained 55Å-wide GaAs/Al_{0.33}Ga_{0.67}As QW, $g = 0$, and the value $g = -0.1$ has been recently measured in a non-strained $w = 68\text{Å}$ QW⁸. As a result, a narrow quantum well is a system, where Zeeman energy can be swept through zero, and where the tendency of interacting electrons at $\nu = (2N + 1)$ to form a ferromagnetic state (with a large exchange energy $\mathfrak{S} \gg \varepsilon_Z$) polarized along the external magnetic field confronts the effects of the SO coupling¹⁵⁻¹⁷ itself, as an alternative source for choosing the spin-polarization direction for 2D electrons.

In GaAs/AlGaAs quantum wells grown along the [001]-facet, the effective two-dimensional SO coupling has the form of $H_{\text{so}} = \tilde{u}_{\text{so}} (p_x \sigma^x - p_y \sigma^y) + u_{\text{so}} \epsilon^{ijz} p_i \sigma_j$, where $\mathbf{p} = -i\nabla - e\mathbf{A}/c$ is the electron momentum ($\mathbf{A} = (0, xB_z, 0)$), σ_j are Pauli matrices, and $\hbar = 1$. The first term in H_{so} reflects the lack of inversion symmetry in the well grown along the [001] facet with square 2D lattice symmetry and comes from the $(\gamma p^3 \sigma)$ non-parabolicity in the conduction band of bulk GaAs^{11,16}, so that $\tilde{u}_{\text{so}} = \gamma \langle p_z^2 \rangle$. Experimentally¹⁷ and theoretically¹⁶ found values of γ range from $\gamma = 27\text{eVÅ}^3$ to 22eVÅ^3 . The second SO term

is due to the quantum well potential asymmetry¹⁵. In a narrow QW, with $\psi = 2^{-1/2} \sin(z\pi/w)$ transverse part of the electron wave function, $\tilde{u}_{\text{so}} = \gamma (\pi/w)^2 \gg u_{\text{so}}$ ¹⁷. (Directions for x - and y -axes are chosen in such a way that $\tilde{u}_{\text{so}}, u_{\text{so}} > 0$.)

In the present paper, we study the effect of spin-orbit coupling on the $\nu = (2N + 1)$ QHF formed by electrons with a vanishing single-particle Zeeman energy in a narrow QW in a perpendicular magnetic field. In this analysis, we address the properties of narrow wells, since they have a more prominent SO coupling in the 2D electron Hamiltonian. In a high magnetic field providing $\omega_c = |eB_z|/mc \gg \tilde{u}_{\text{so}}/\lambda$ ($\lambda = \sqrt{c/|eB_z|}$), a weak SO coupling does not alter Landau quantization. However, it affects the evolution of ferromagnetic properties of a quantum Hall effect liquid upon sweeping ε_Z through zero. As in ordinary ferromagnets, SO coupling results in the crystalline anisotropy field¹⁸, that deflects spin polarization $\mathbf{n}$ from alignment in the magnetic field direction, $\mathbf{I}_z$. As a result, a liquid with $|\varepsilon_Z| < \varepsilon_Z^e = 2(\tilde{u}_{\text{so}}/\lambda\omega_c)^2 \mathfrak{S}_{N+1}$ takes one of two two-fold degenerate easy-axis magnetic states, which generates a source for formation of a domain structure in a QHF. Moreover, as a feature of the SO coupling in the 2D electron system lacking inversion symmetry, for a tinier splitting, $|\varepsilon_Z| < \varepsilon_Z^h = \sqrt{2}(\tilde{u}_{\text{so}}/\lambda\omega_c)^2 \mathfrak{S}_{N+1}$, the spin-polarization acquires a helically twisted texture with a mesoscopic-scale period, $\mathcal{L}/\lambda \approx 3.25\omega_c\lambda/\tilde{u}_{\text{so}}$, in the $[1\bar{1}0]$ crystallographic direction. The transition of a liquid into the helical state may manifest itself in a change of the NMR-lineshape from the QW structure, or in the anisotropy of dissipative transport characteristics of a QHF. We also discuss topological defects in the helical state, $\pm e/2$ -charged dislocations, which, in pairs, constitute skyrmions.

The recipe^{3,4} of describing smoothly textured QHF's at odd-integer filling factors is to use the 2D sigma-model, which operates with the energy functional, $\Phi\{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})\}$ of 2D electrons expressed in terms of their local excess spin polarization field $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ ($|\mathbf{n}| = 1$). Locally, the QHF can be viewed as a liquid of electrons which fully occupy $(N + 1)$ LL's with spin parallel to $\mathbf{n}$, and N LL's with

antiparallel spins. This assumes the existence of a local unitary spin-transformation, $U(\mathbf{r})$ of electron wave functions, which reduces the filling of the $(N+1)$ -st LL to a complete occupation of only states 'up' with respect to the locally determined axis $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})$, and which is related to the spin-polarization field as $n^i = \text{Tr}(s^i \Lambda)$, where $s^i(\mathbf{r}) = U(\mathbf{r}) \sigma^i U^\dagger(\mathbf{r})$, and $\Lambda = (1 + \sigma^z)/2$ is the electron spin-density matrix in the rotated frame. Such a liquid retains incompressibility, which is guaranteed by a large exchange energy gap, $\Im$. The derivation of a sigma-model consists in the use of Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation and the saddle-point self-consistency equation, as a method to obtain an expansion of the thermodynamic potential of a liquid over small gradients of a polarization field, or, equivalently, over the matrix $\vec{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}) = U(\mathbf{r}) (-i \nabla U^\dagger(\mathbf{r})) \equiv (i \nabla U(\mathbf{r})) U^\dagger(\mathbf{r})$. The latter matrix appears in a local perturbation to the single-particle Hamiltonian written in the rotated spin-frame,

$$H_\Omega = i\omega_c (a_+ \Omega_- - \Omega_+ a_-) + (\omega_c/2) [\vec{\Omega}^2 - \alpha \nabla \times \vec{\Omega}],$$

where $a_\mp = \pm(ip_x \mp \alpha p_y)/\sqrt{2}$ are the inter-LL operators, $\alpha = eB_z/|eB_z|$ indicates the direction of the cyclotron rotation of carriers, and $\Omega_\pm = (\Omega_x \mp i\alpha\Omega_y)/\sqrt{2}$. Further procedure (equivalent to the Hartree-Fock approximation) consists in a perturbative expansion of the saddle-point Hubbard-Stratonovich action (obtained for a given single-particle Hamiltonian, which includes $H_\Omega + H_{\text{so}}$) up to the second order both in $\vec{\Omega}$ and the SO coupling, H_{so} (assuming that $\tilde{u}_{\text{so}}/\lambda \ll \Im$). In the local spin-frame,

$$H_{\text{so}} = (\tilde{u}_{\text{so}}/\lambda) [i(a_+ s^+ - s^- a_-) + \Omega_+ s^+ + s^- \Omega_-] + (\alpha u_{\text{so}}/\lambda) [a_+ s^- + s^+ a_- - i(\Omega_+ s^- - s^+ \Omega_-)],$$

where $s^\pm = (s^x \mp \alpha i s^y)/\sqrt{2}$, and the relevant part of H_{so} is off-diagonal with respect to the LL-number²⁰.

The calculation, which leads us to the sheet density of thermodynamic potential of a QHF, $\Phi\{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})\}$ differs from earlier Hartree-Fock calculations^{3,19} only by taking into account the SO-coupling term H_{so} , along with H_Ω . It results in

$$\Phi = \frac{\Im_{N+1}}{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_\beta \frac{(\nabla n^\beta)^2}{8} - \left[\frac{\tilde{u}_{\text{so}}^2 + u_{\text{so}}^2}{2\omega_c^2 \lambda^4} \mathbf{n}_\parallel^2 + \frac{2\tilde{u}_{\text{so}} u_{\text{so}}}{\omega_c^2 \lambda^4} n^x n^y \right] + \frac{\varepsilon_Z n^z}{2\lambda^2} - \frac{\tilde{u}_{\text{so}} \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_\parallel - u_{\text{so}} \mathbf{n}_\parallel}{\omega_c \lambda^2} \cdot \nabla n^z \right\} + E_{\text{sk}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{n}_\parallel$ is the planar component of the spin-polarization field, and $\tilde{n}^x = n^y$, $\tilde{n}^y = n^x$. To obtain Φ in Eq. (1), we have extended perturbative expansion up to the terms $\Im \nabla^2$, $\Im (\tilde{u}_{\text{so}}/\lambda \omega_c) \nabla$ and $\Im (\tilde{u}_{\text{so}}/\lambda \omega_c)^2$. The exchange-factor,

$$\Im_{N+1} = \int_0^\infty dz V(\sqrt{2}z) e^{-z} \left\{ \sum_{M=N, N+1} M [L_M(z) L_N^1(z) - L_{M-1}(z) L_{N-1}^1(z)] \right\} = \frac{e^2 \sqrt{2\pi}}{\chi \lambda} \theta_{N+1},$$

was calculated for each odd-integer filling $\nu = 2N+1$ ($N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$); $L_N^m(z)$ are the generalized Laguerre polynomials. For $V(r) = e^2/r\chi$, which is a reasonable approximation for the 2D electron interaction in a narrow QW ($w \ll \lambda$), $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{4}$, $\theta_2 = \frac{7}{16}$, $\theta_3 \approx 0.57$, $\theta_4 \approx 0.67$, and $\theta_5 \approx 0.76$. Since the relevant part of the SO coupling is off-diagonal with respect to the Landau level number, it appears only in the second-order of a perturbation theory, or due to its mixing with the H_Ω -term²¹. The single-particle spin-splitting, ε_Z in Eq. (1) is corrected by the effect of the 2D single-particle SO-coupling: $\varepsilon_Z = \mu g B - \alpha \nu (\tilde{u}_{\text{so}}^2 - u_{\text{so}}^2)/\omega_c \lambda^2$. We also include into $\Phi(\mathbf{n}, \nabla \mathbf{n})$ the topological term and the Coulomb energy of additional charges, $\rho(\mathbf{x})$, in order to discuss charged skyrmion-type textures,

$$E_{\text{sk}} = \frac{\Im_{N+1}}{2} \rho + \int d\mathbf{x}' \rho(\mathbf{x}) \frac{V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')}{2} \rho(\mathbf{x}'); \quad \rho(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{-\alpha}{8\pi} \epsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \epsilon^{ij} n^\beta \partial_i n^\gamma \partial_j n^\delta. \quad (2)$$

From a phenomenological point of view, thermodynamic potential $\Phi\{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})\}$ in Eq. (1) describes an easy-axis ferromagnet with square 2D Bravais lattice and broken inversion symmetry, and in a perpendicular magnetic field. It contains all terms in the magnetization energy allowed by the crystalline symmetry of the [001]-grown quantum well in a zinc-blend-type semiconductor²². The sketched above microscopic derivation of $\Phi\{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})\}$ was necessary to obtain the values of coefficients in front of the phenomenologically allowed invariants composed of $\mathbf{n}$ and ∇ . The first term in Φ describes spin-stiffness. The second term determines an easy-axis anisotropy along

$\mathbf{l}_+ = [110]/\sqrt{2}$. For structures with $\tilde{u}_{\text{so}} \gg u_{\text{so}}$, it rather defines a weakly anisotropic easy plane for spin polarization, which competes with the Zeeman energy term. Such a competition resumes itself in the deviation of polarization from a fully $\mathbf{l}_z$ -aligned state at $|\varepsilon_Z| < \varepsilon_Z^e$,

$$\varepsilon_Z^e = 2(u_+/\lambda \omega_c)^2 \Im_{N+1}, \quad u_\pm = \tilde{u}_{\text{so}} \pm u_{\text{so}}. \quad (3)$$

As a function of a varying ε_Z , this can be viewed as a second order phase transition into the easy-axis state,

$$\mathbf{n}_\pm = -(\varepsilon_Z/\varepsilon_Z^e) \mathbf{l}_z \pm \mathbf{l}_+ \sqrt{1 - (\varepsilon_Z/\varepsilon_Z^e)^2} \quad (4)$$

across which the symmetry between $\pm \mathbf{l}_+$ magnetic directions gets spontaneously broken¹⁸.

Since for $|\varepsilon_Z| < \varepsilon_Z^e$ both easy-axis configurations, $\mathbf{n}_+$ and $\mathbf{n}_-$ have the same energy density,

$$\Phi_e = -\frac{\mathfrak{S}_{N+1}}{4\pi\lambda^2} \left(\frac{u_+/\lambda}{\omega_c} \right)^2 \left[1 + \left(\frac{\varepsilon_Z}{\varepsilon_Z^e} \right)^2 \right], \quad (5)$$

the easy-axis state of a QHF tends to acquire a domain structure: by splitting dynamically into the set of mesoscopic-size regions with opposite polarization projections onto the $[110]$ -axis. The latter possibility has to affect the skyrmion-dominated dissipative transport properties of a liquid. The matter is that the activation energy of a skyrmion-antiskyrmion pair confined to the domain wall is lower, than in the 2D bulk²¹. In fact, the larger is the difference in the polarization between two domains, the more skyrmion is energetically confined to it. Since $\mathbf{n}_\pm$ -polarized states are degenerate, the areas covered by $\mathbf{n}_+$ and $\mathbf{n}_-$ domains are statistically equal, so that the network of better conducting domain walls (with a lower activation energy for thermally excited carriers) forms a percolation cluster, thus resulting in a continuous decline in the activation energy for the macroscopic σ_{xx} which would follow the decrease of ε_Z .

A further analysis of the functional in Eq. (1) extended onto the limit of $\varepsilon_Z = 0$ shows that, apart from the domain structure formation, there is another reason for the field $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})$ to be inhomogeneous. The fourth term²² in Eq. (1) tends to twist the polarization field of a QHF into helical texture,

$$\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{l} \sin(\phi(\mathbf{r})) + \mathbf{l}_z \cos(\phi(\mathbf{r})). \quad (6)$$

The latter is characterized by the helicity plane built upon two unit vectors, $\mathbf{l} = (l^x, l^y, 0)$ and $\mathbf{l}_z$, spatial orientation $\mathbf{m}$, and period $\mathcal{L}$, $\phi(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{m}\mathcal{L}) = \phi(\mathbf{r}) + 2\pi$. As a variational approximation, one can use $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})$ in Eq. (6) with $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{m}\mathbf{r}/\mathcal{L}$, treating $\mathbf{l}$, $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ as minimization parameters. The resulting texture can be viewed as an image of a spoke in a wheel rolling in the direction of $\mathbf{m}$, with ϕ being the integral angle encircled by a spoke, so that we shall call ϕ the 'helicity phase'. The energy density of an optimal variational state,

$$\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{l} = \mathbf{l}_- \equiv [1\bar{1}0]/\sqrt{2}, \quad \mathcal{L}^v = \pi\lambda^2\omega_c/u_+, \quad (7)$$

$$\Phi_h^v = -\frac{\mathfrak{S}_{N+1}}{4\pi\lambda^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{u_+/\lambda}{\omega_c} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{u_-/\lambda}{\omega_c} \right)^2 \right\}, \quad (8)$$

is lower than the energy $\Phi_e(\varepsilon_Z = 0)$ of a homogenous easy-axis configuration in Eq. (5). Optimal variational state provides us with values of Φ_h and $\mathcal{L}$ which are very close to the $\mathbf{n}$ -field distribution that really minimizes²³ the functional Φ in Eq. (1). For the experimentally relevant example of $u_+ \approx u_-$ (*i.e.*, $\tilde{u}_{so} \gg u_{so}$), $\Phi_h^v = -\frac{3}{2}(\tilde{u}_{so}/\lambda\omega_c)^2 (\mathfrak{S}_{N+1}/4\pi\lambda^2)$ and $\mathcal{L}^v$ in Eq. (7) should be compared²³ with $\Phi_h \approx -1.53(\tilde{u}_{so}/\lambda\omega_c)^2 (\mathfrak{S}_{N+1}/4\pi\lambda^2)$

and $\mathcal{L} \approx 3.25\omega_c\lambda^2/\tilde{u}_{so}$. We, therefore, assess the stability of a helical state, against the easy-axis one, on the basis of the energetics of variational helical texture with parameters given by Eq. (7).

Due to the difference in the symmetry of a helical and easy-axis states, which cannot be continuously transformed one into another, the transformation between them can be viewed as a first-order phase transition. The condition for such a transition, $\Phi_h = \Phi_e(\varepsilon_Z)$, determines critical value of Zeeman splitting, ε_Z^h estimated as

$$\varepsilon_Z^h = \sqrt{2}(u_+u_-/\omega_c^2\lambda_B^2) \mathfrak{S}_{N+1} \approx \varepsilon_Z^e/\sqrt{2}. \quad (9)$$

The helical state formation can manifest itself in several observations. For example, the local value of the Knight shift⁶, δ_{hf} in the spin-splitting of Ga and As nuclei located in the QW acquires an alternate coordinate-dependent sign, thus modifying the NMR lineshape, $I(\delta)$. Locally, the NMR shift $\delta = (\omega - \omega_0)/\delta_{hf}$ is due to the hyperfine interaction of nuclear spins with fully polarized electrons, with δ_{hf} being its maximal value just in the QW center. In a homogeneously polarized gas, the NMR line from the QW has a double-peak structure⁶, $I(1 > \delta > 0) \propto [\delta(1 - \delta)]^{-1/2}$, with a distinct satellite at $\delta = 1$ split by the hyperfine coupling. In the easy-axis configuration, Eq. (4), the double-peak structure persists, with a reduced maximal splitting: $\delta_{hf} \rightarrow (\varepsilon_Z/\varepsilon_Z^e)\delta_{hf}$, as far as $n^z = -\varepsilon_Z/\varepsilon_Z^e$. On the contrary, in a helical phase, this has to transform into a single broadly tailed resonance with a non-Lorentzian shape approximated by $I(\delta) \propto |\delta|^{-1/2}$ for $1 > \delta > -1$.

The anisotropy of transport characteristics of a QHF with respect to $[110]$ and $[1\bar{1}0]$ crystallographic directions may be another feature of the helical state. Speaking about dissipative conductivity formed by thermally activated electron-hole pairs at the spin-split LL's, this can be understood after taking into account that charge-carrying excitations determined in a locally rotated spin-frame (adjusted to $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})$) are subjected to a smooth ($\mathcal{L} \gg \lambda$) potential due to Zeeman energy, $(\varepsilon_Z/2)\cos(|x - y|/\sqrt{2}\mathcal{L})$. Therefore, at low temperatures, $T < \varepsilon_Z$ the dissipative conductivity $\sigma_{-,-}$ along $\mathbf{m} \parallel [1\bar{1}0]$ would be suppressed, as compared to $\sigma_{+,+}$ (across $\mathbf{m}$).

For the dissipative transport dominated by skyrmions⁵, the difference between $\sigma_{-,-}$ and $\sigma_{+,+}$ is to be the result of the anisotropy of a skyrmion itself. In fact, the form of a skyrmion in a helically twisted texture is quite complex: In a periodic system, these are dislocations which represent the very elementary topological defects, rather than skyrmions. The periodicity of a helical texture in Eq. (6) is controlled by the helicity phase $\phi_0(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{r}/\mathcal{L})$ in Eqs. (6) and (7). One missing (or extra) period in one half of a plane, as compared to the other half (a dislocation) is equivalent to the phase shift of $\pm 2\pi$ accumulated at large distances from the dislocation core, thus resulting in the winding number $D = \pm 1$. On the other hand, we assume that the dislocation core is

not singular. To illustrate the topology of a non-singular core, let us draw a large-radius circle around a dislocation. At large distances, where helical structure is not perturbed, such a contour maps into the equator of a unit sphere and encircles it N or $(N - 1)$ times, depending on which one of two semi-circles is retraced: drawn above, or below the dislocation.

Upon moving the upper half of a contour down through the dislocation, an extra loop encircling the unit sphere equator should continuously disappear. The latter is possible if the contour image slips through either the $+1_+$, or, alternatively, -1_+ pole of a unit sphere, which can be modelled by such a field configuration $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{l}_z n^z + \mathbf{l}_+ n^+ + \mathbf{l}_- n^-$, that

$$n^z + i n^- = e^{i\phi + iD\varphi} \sqrt{1 - (n^+)^2}, \begin{cases} n^+(0) = \pm 1, \\ n^+(r \rightarrow \infty) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where (r, φ) are polar coordinates calculated from the dislocation center, $r = 0$. The image of a 2D plane provided by $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})$ maps onto only one half of a sphere $|\mathbf{n}| = 1$, so that the dislocation core is characterized by an additional topological number, $\vartheta \equiv n^+(0) = \pm 1$ distinguishing between 'left' and 'right' semi-spheres. Using $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ in Eq. (2), we find that the core of a dislocation ($D = 1$) or anti-dislocation ($D = -1$) carry a half-integer electric charge $\int d\mathbf{x} \rho(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \vartheta D$. However, an isolated dislocation has a logarithmically large energy,

$$\mathcal{E}(D = \pm 1) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{2\pi} \frac{\Im_{N+1}}{8} (\nabla\varphi)^2 \approx \frac{\Im_{N+1}}{8} \ln(r/\mathcal{L}),$$

and, at low temperatures, dislocations and anti-dislocations have to form pairs bound by a long-range (logarithmic) attraction, except, maybe, for a possible Kosterlitz-Thouless melting effect. Since both dislocation and anti-dislocation in a bound pair may be equally charged, such a pair, (D, ϑ) and $(-D, -\vartheta)$ together constitute a skyrmion.

The result of the above analysis of phases of a ferromagnetic quantum Hall effect liquid in a narrow QW can be summarized as follows. Upon decreasing the single-particle Zeeman splitting, $|\varepsilon_Z|$ (e.g., by pressure), spin polarization of a liquid starts to acquire at $|\varepsilon_Z| = \varepsilon_Z^e$ the easy-axis configuration, which is followed by the abrupt fall into a helical state at $|\varepsilon_Z| = \varepsilon_Z^h \approx \varepsilon_Z^e/\sqrt{2}$. Using the bulk SO coupling parameter $\gamma = 25 \text{ eV}\text{\AA}^3$, as a reference, we estimate for the $\nu = 1$ liquid in a $68\text{\AA}$ -wide GaAs/AlGaAs quantum well structure with a carrier density $2.8 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ studied by Maude *et al*⁸ that $\varepsilon_Z^e/(e^2/\chi\lambda) \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$, and $\varepsilon_Z^h/(e^2/\chi\lambda) \sim 1 \times 10^{-3}$, which roughly fits into the range of a variable Zeeman energy in Ref.⁸, where the dissipative transport activation has been drastically affected by pressure. The helical texture period estimated for the same parameters is $\mathcal{L} \sim 5 \times 10^3 \text{\AA}$. Note that, according to Eqs. (9) and (3), the parametric range of pressures where helical and easy-axis phases are stable is broader for higher odd-integer filling factors in the same density structure.

Authors thank N.Cooper, P.Littlewood, A.MacDonald, Yu.Nazarov, R.Nicholas, M.Potemski and G.Volovik for discussions. This work has been supported by EPSRC, INTAS and NATO.

-
- ¹ S.Girvin and A.MacDonald, in *Perspectives in Quantum Hall Effect*, ed. by S. Das Sarma and A. Pinczuk (Wiley, NY 1997), and refs. therein
 - ² D.-H. Lee, C. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1313 (1990)
 - ³ H.A. Fertig *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 11018 (1994); **B55**, 10671 (1997); M. Abolfath *et al*, *ibid.* B **56**, 6795 (1997); A.H. MacDonald, J.J. Palacios, *ibid.* B **58**, 10171 (1998), R. Côté *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 4825 (1997)
 - ⁴ S.L. Sondhi *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 16419 (1993)
 - ⁵ A. Schmeller *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4290 (1995)
 - ⁶ S.E. Barrett *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 5112 (1995)
 - ⁷ E.H. Aifer *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 680 (1996)
 - ⁸ D. Maude *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4604 (1996)
 - ⁹ D. Leadley *et al*, *ibid.* **79**, 4246 (1997)
 - ¹⁰ L.M.Roth, B.Lax, S.Zwerdling, Phys. Rev. **114**, 90 (1959)
 - ¹¹ G. Dresselhaus, Phys. Rev. **100**, 580 (1958)
 - ¹² C.Hermann, C.Weisbush, Phys. Rev. B **15**, 823 (1977)
 - ¹³ M.J.Snelling *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 11345 (1991)
 - ¹⁴ R.M.Hannak *et al*, Solid State Commun. **93**, 3132 (1995)
 - ¹⁵ Yu. Bychkov, E. Rashba, JETP Lett. **39**, 78 (1984)
 - ¹⁶ F.Malcher, G.Lommer, U.Rössler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 729 (1988)
 - ¹⁷ B. Jusserand *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 848 (1992); B. Jusserand *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 4707 (1995)
 - ¹⁸ Our attention to the relevance of the easy-axis anisotropy has been turned by Yu.Nazarov and by N.Cooper.
 - ¹⁹ S.V. Iordanski, S.G. Plasunov, V.I. Fal'ko, ZhETF **112**, 1201 (1999)
 - ²⁰ V.I. Fal'ko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 141 (1993)
 - ²¹ V.I.Fal'ko, S.V.Iordanski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 402 (1999)
 - ²² This expression for the energy density is given neglecting $(\Im_{N+1}/2\omega_c\lambda_B^2) \text{div} [u_{\text{so}}(\alpha - n^z) \mathbf{n}_{\parallel} + \tilde{u}_{\text{so}}(\alpha + n^z) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{\parallel}]$, which can be transformed into the edges term.
 - ²³ To minimise the energy, we, first, find such a helicity phase, $\phi_0(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{m}\mathcal{L}) = \phi_0(\mathbf{r}) + 2\pi$ that optimizes Φ for a given period $\mathcal{L}$. Then, we minimise $\Phi(\mathcal{L})$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}$. The optimal ϕ_0 for $\varepsilon_Z = 0$ is determined by the equation $-(1/4)\partial_\eta^2 \phi_0 - (u_-/\lambda^2\omega_c)^2 \sin \phi_0 \cos \phi_0 = 0$, with first integral $A = (\lambda^2\omega_c/2u_-)^2 (\partial_\eta \phi_0)^2 - \cos^2 \phi_0$, $\eta = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{m}$, related to the period as $2\mathcal{L}u_-/\lambda^2\omega_c = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi/\sqrt{A + \cos^2 \phi}$. Using these relations, we find the energy dependence $\Phi_h(\mathcal{L})$ and formally minimize it, $d\Phi_h(\mathcal{L})/d\mathcal{L} = 0$, generating one more integral relation, $\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \sqrt{A + \cos^2 \phi} = 2\pi u_+/u_-$. For $u_+ \approx u_- \approx \tilde{u}_{\text{so}}$, we find $A \approx 0.532$, $\mathcal{L} \approx 3.25\omega_c\lambda^2/\tilde{u}_{\text{so}}$ and $\Phi_h \approx -1.53(\tilde{u}_{\text{so}}/\lambda\omega_c)^2 (\Im_{N+1}/4\pi\lambda^2)$.